IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN DISTRICT

CANLOS HAPASTON PETITIONER

NO.

V.

SCI FOREST SUPERINTENDENT; CHESTER COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY; RESPONDENT(S)

MEMORANDUM OF LAW SUPPORTING § 2254 PETITION OF HABEAS CORPUS

Claim # 1 : Plea court imposed a mandatory minimum for persons not to possess firearms in violation of Alleyne v. United States, 570 U.S. (2013). The charging Statute is § 6105, the sentencing statute used is subsection § 9712.1, which has been ruled unconstitutional pursuant to Supreme court ruling in Apprendi and Alleyne. Sixth Amendment guarantees that any element used to impose a mandatory minimum sentence must be charged in the information and proven to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt standard. See United States v. Summer, 2022 U.S. (D.D.C. 2022) which states that a binding plea agreement required summer to serve what would become an illegal sentence. The court said it didn't since it was the product of a threat of an unconstitutional punishment.

Claim # 2: Plea counsel was ineffective for not asserting that Hariston persons not to possess firearms sentence was illegal. Which is violative of petitioner's Sixth Amendment and Fourteenth Amendment rights. Which calls for vacate and remand of the petitioner's sentence. See Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335, 83 S.Ct. 792, 9 L.Ed.2d 799 (1963).

Claim #3: Hairston's plea was involuntary and unknowing because hedidnot recieve pretrial notice of prosecution's intent to seek a mandatory sentence for his conviction of firearms possession. The petitioner was never given notice of the Commonwealth's intent to sentence him to a mandatory sentence after the decision in Alleyne, which is a Sixth Amendment Rule notice of the consequences the petitioner faces is Constitutionally mandated by Rule 590 Pa. R. Crim. P. 590, the An increase in sentence is not required to establish prejudice, as "any amount of actual jail time has Sixth Amendment Significance. See Glover v. United States, 531 U.S. 198, 203-04 (2001).

WHEREFORE, The petitioner ask this Honorable Court to grant the Writ of habeas corpus after consideration of the above argued case laws supporting petition.

Date:

First class U.S. Mail:

Chester County District Attorney (1 Copy)

Clerk of Courts United States District Court (1 Copy)

Respectfully Submitted,

CALLOS HAMESTON # QI+4751 SCI Rorat Marienville, PA 16239 P.O. Box 943

SCI Forest Smart Communications / PA DOC Inmate Name CAUS HAW 570N

St Petersburg, FL 33733

PO Box 33028

DC# QH-475,



EASTERN DISTRICT OF FENNSYLVANIA

X-RAY PHILADERPHIA, PA. 19106-9865

Inmate Mail Dept. of Corrections

LEGAL MAIL)